
CMSC 426

Principles of Computer Security

Introduction to Malware

Last Class We Covered

- Defenses against stack overflow attacks
 - ASLR
 - Stack canaries
 - Preventing stack execution

- Buffer overflow variations
 - return-to-libc
 - Return-oriented programming

Any Questions from Last Time?

Today's Topics

- Malware
- Threat actors
 - APT groups and others
- Attribution
- Threat actor examples

Why Hack Systems?

- Stack overflow attacks can let us gain control of a system (among other things), but what do you do with them?
- What is the end goal?
 - Notoriety
 - Money
 - LOTS of money
 - Political influence

Malware

- Short for “malicious software”
 - May attack applications, editors/compilers, or kernel level
 - Often delivered through compromised websites or spam emails
- May be silent, logging keystrokes (e.g., passwords)
- May be annoying, constantly popping up advertisements
- May be disruptive, disallowing use of certain programs or parts of the system
- May be exploitative, using cycles or sending mass emails

Threat Actors

“Script Kiddies”

- Largely unskilled
 - Not necessarily young, despite the name
- Use scripts and code created by others

- Often vandalize websites or attack systems and networks
 - Sometimes assumed to not know/understand the consequences

- End goal is street cred, sense of superiority, petty crime

APT Groups

- Advanced
 - Use a wide variety of tactics (including custom malware) specifically chosen for the target
- Persistent
 - Attacks may happen over an extended period against a chosen target, maximizing the chance of success
- Threat
 - Focus on a specific target by experienced, well-funded attackers
 - Often actively involved, instead of simply using automated tools

More on APT Groups

- APT groups are often funded by a specific country
 - Countries do not normally admit to this
 - Makes more sense to keep details and information secret
 - “Effective” security through obscurity
 - (Really, just temporary)
- End goal varies based on the target
 - Information, influence, money, large amounts of personal data

Cybercriminals

- Higher skill level than script kiddies, less organized and well-funded than APT groups
 - Essentially anything that's not the other two
- May work alone or in groups
- End goal is generally money
 - Either directly (scams, hacking financial institutions) or indirectly (planting ransomware, selling access to created botnets)

Attempting Attribution

TTP (Tactics, Techniques, and Procedures)

- Analyzing the information on how attacks are managed and accomplished to try to identify the group(s) responsible
- Some examples of TTPs:
 - What were the tactics/techniques are used in the attack?
 - How was information gathered prior to attack being carried out?
 - How was the payload delivered?
 - What was the timeline for the attack?
 - What was the target's type?

IOC (Indicators of Compromise)

- Using evidence left behind to identify the group(s) responsible
- Examples:
 - Exact malware used by group (might have been seen before)
 - Infrastructure used for attack
 - IP addresses, domain names, etc.
 - URLs/domain names of botnet Command & Control servers
 - Metadata about the above

Difficulties of Attribution

- Even with this information, can be difficult to attribute attacks
 - Evidence is often ambiguous, or even contradictory
 - Who the target can also be a factor in attribution
- Possibility of false attribution can also be a problem
 - Some groups deliberately leave “fingerprints” after their attack
 - These fingerprints may be deliberate false flags
 - If incorrect, groups may offer evidence to the contrary
 - “Fake news”

Threat Actor Examples

APT 1 (“Comment Crew”)

- Exposed in 2013 as being formed of a military group from China
 - People’s Liberation Army Unit 61398
- Has stolen massive amounts of data from organizations
 - Hundreds of terabytes
 - Data includes blueprints, proprietary processes, and contact lists
 - Focus on English-speaking countries
- Maintain access to systems for nearly a year on average, continually revisiting and stealing additional data

Information taken from <https://www.fireeye.com/current-threats/apt-groups.html>

APT 28 (“Fancy Bear”)

- Likely associated with the Russian government
 - US Special Counsel believes it is two GRU units
 - GRU is Russia’s military intelligence agency
- Partially responsible for the DNC hack in early 2016
- Also attacked 2017 elections in France and Germany
- Main goal seems to be political influence

Information taken from <https://www.fireeye.com/current-threats/apt-groups.html> and https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Fancy_Bear

Daily Security Tidbit

- Yesterday, the creators of the Mirai botnet were sentenced to probation (instead of jail time)
 - Provided “extraordinary cooperation” with the government
- Mirai infects and takes over things like routers and DVRs
 - Then uses them in large-scale botnet attacks like DDoS
 - Creators rented out “slices” of the botnet to other cybercriminals
- Released the code in an attempt to obscure their authorship
 - Copied by others, and used to cause even more damage

Information taken from <https://krebsonsecurity.com/2018/09/mirai-botnet-authors-avoid-jail-time/>

Announcements

- Lab 1 and Paper 1 are out on Blackboard now
 - Both are due at midnight on Wednesday, September 26th
 - Paper must be completed in groups of 2 or 3 (no singles)

- Website is up-to-date on lectures and code
 - Still working on the schedule